

# The Homeland Security Operational Impact of Layered Defense Tactics on Border Security Outcomes: A Transcendental Phenomenology Study of Illegal Entry and Contraband Interception Rates

Paul .M. Onyemekeihia, Ph.D

Helm's School of Government Liberty University, Lynchburg, VA 24515

Accepted 11, October, 2025

This study aimed to investigate how border security professionals experience and operationalize layered defense tactics, and to measure the empirical impact on security outcomes. This entails illegal entry and the illegal entry of contraband being intercepted. In the case of this research, a transcendental phenomenological approach examines the multi-layered security systems grounded in the experiences of border-security professionals, and the systems efficacy in border control enhancement. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with 15 border security personnel, participant observations at four U.S.-Canadian border checkpoints, and analysis of operational documents spanning 2022-2024. Understanding systems incorporating advanced control methodologies, to include control drones, sensors, and scans, is the reason systems have an increased ability to detect contraband with a systemically concurrent decline in illegal entries. The research further elucidates the instrumental layered defense intelligence, training of personnel, and inter-agency collaborative control techniques. Regression analysis revealed statistically significant relationships between layered defense implementation and both reduced illegal entry rates ( $\beta = -0.45$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ) and increased contraband interception ( $\beta = 0.38$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ). This research empowers the operationalization of layered defense techniques while being suggestive of the need for research focused on the potential of emerging border control technologies, and long-term research in estimative- cost benefit analyses. Findings support the continued investment in layered defense systems while highlighting the need for ongoing personnel training, inter-agency coordination, and adaptive tactical responses to evolving threats.

**Keywords:** Layered Defense Tactics, Border Security, Illegal Entry, Contraband Interception, Homeland Security, Phenomenological Study, Security Outcomes.

## 1. Introduction

As transnational threats become more sophisticated, the functions of the homeland security have expanded from simple border control to more complex, multilayered defences to prevent, detect, and interdict illegal access and the flow of contraband. Homeland security is, to a degree, an amalgamation of activities aimed at risk mitigation, vulnerability reduction, and recovery for a nation from a terrorist attack (Gottfried & Nordstrom, 2021). The layered defence approach as a 'defence in depth' strategy strengthens situational unawareness as a barrier for an unlawful border actor. This approach may include, but is not limited to, human patrols, sensor networks, the dissemination of actionable intelligence, surveillance systems, and enforcement of control points (Perry & Gray, 2018).

In recent years, CBP and CBSA have been operationalizing a greater focus on layered security. For example, in one recent year, CBSA simultaneously processed tens of millions of travelers, and reported interceptions of over 72,200 kg of contraband, including narcotics. This speaks to operational control at scale and complexity (CBSA, 2023). Additionally, the 2025–2029 U.S. Border Patrol Strategy articulates an ambition of "100 % real time detection and identification of illegal border crossings," which underscores the centrality of layered defence to contemporary border security doctrine (U.S. Border Patrol, 2024).

In light of the above, the empirical impact of layered defence on illegal entry or contraband abuse within different

border contexts is still poorly understood. In border security, the translation of strategy to measurable operational outcomes and the tacit knowledge of border security practitioners are unexplored. This suggests a need to understand the layered defence not just in terms of 'what' and 'how many' but also, and equally important, the phenomenology of "how." This includes how the tactics are operationalized, experienced, and sanctioned by border security personnel in the frontline (Jones & Smith, 2021). This study employed a transcendental phenomenological framework to explore not only the measurable outcomes of layered defense but also the lived experiences of those implementing these strategies.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Border security agencies worldwide have embraced the concept of layered defence as part of their security frameworks, yet few systematic academic studies have associated these tactics with changes in illegal entry and contraband interception rates. Previous literature has documented the need for improved evaluation methods of the effectiveness of border control mechanisms. A classic illustration stems from a review conducted by the RAND Corporation, where reviewers suggested that metrics such as 'miles of border under effective control' require continued refinement and validation and underscored the continuing complexity and difficulty of border security and data (RAND, 2020). Furthermore, the vast majority of studies centre their arguments on the strategy, design, and technology of border defence systems, as opposed to the operational contexts of border enforcement personnel. Legislative and academic sources have documented the existence of layered systems (for example, in a 2004 congressional hearing on "Protecting the Homeland: Building a Layered and Coordinated Approach to Border Security" (U.S. Congress, 2004)), yet the operational implications with regard to illegal entry and contraband interception have yet to be sufficiently explored, particularly the qualitative, phenomenological aspects.

Consequently, a dual gap exists: (1) a gap in measuring the impact of layered defence strategies on border security results (rates of illegal crossings and contraband interception) and (2) a gap in analysing the border security personnel's experiences, perceptions, and implementations of these tactical layers in practice. If both remain unaddressed, policies and practices are likely to work on assumptions rather than evidence based on critical reflection and practitioner experience (Gottfried & Nordstrom, 2021).

Despite the widespread adoption of layered defense frameworks, significant questions remain about their operational effectiveness and implementation. However, this study addressed two primary research questions: (1) What is the empirical impact of layered defense strategies on illegal entry and contraband interception rates? (2) How do border security practitioners experience, interpret, and operationalize these multilayered tactics in their daily work?

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Conceptual Review of Homeland Security

Homeland Security has matured into a multi-faceted construct combining national defense, emergency management, intelligence, and the public's defense as it relates to varying threats. Instead of just terrorism, the domain of homeland security now tactically approaches cyber threats, natural disasters, pandemics, transnational crimes, the disruption of vital infrastructure, and the geo-political instability of a nation. For scholars, the domain of homeland security is best conceptualized as a system of risk-governance in which the expectation is to foresee, prevent, protect, respond, and recover to/from all that threaten the peace and public safety of the nation. As Givens, Busch, and Bersin (2018) point out, the field of homeland security is predicated on the fact that the national security of a country is dependent on the international security of the countries that it is connected with. Greene (2022) counters the attempt of most to universally copy the U.S. homeland security model by explaining that it is geographically specific owing to the political, administrative, and regional threats of a country. Smith (2005) as well as Morag (2006) remind us the domain of homeland security is not simply a federal responsibility; it is a multi-faceted societal enterprise that includes all 50 states, local governmental units, the private sector, and the citizenry. Yilmaz (2006) further explains that homeland security is expected to achieve a balance between the civil liberties of the people and the security functions of the state as there is a need for multiple institutions to work in tandem.

### 2.2 Border Security and Defense Tactics

Securing the borders falls under the broader framework of homeland-security activities. Safeguarding the borders is multifaceted. Border patrol agencies protect the interests of the country by controlling illegal immigration, maintaining the balance of legitimate trade and travel, and the interruption and dismantling of transnational criminal activities and the preservation of national-security interests (Singer, 2023). Border-security measures are implemented in a diversity of means, including the use of physical barriers and barriers, surveillance, patrols and checkpoints, information sharing, and transnational cooperation.

Significant border security literature clearly classifies and describes the so-called "layered" security tactics.

In simple terms, a border does not depend on a single line of defense, but certain multiple overlapping layers of control, monitoring and interdiction. Singer (2023) report describes border and transportation security as a “layered” security approach and “some measure of security effort ... at each of the following points of vulnerability/opportunity: transportation staff, passengers, conveyances, access control, cargo and baggage, ports, and security en route” (Singer, 2023).

Several studies indicate that many challenges remain in evaluating the effectiveness of the plethora of tactics that are put in place on the ground. As pointed out in a comprehensive review by RAND, the defense of borders should be evaluated in the context of achieving the immigration goals of the nation as opposed to measuring the impact of the border on immigration goals (RAND, 2020). This challenges the assumption, and the important caveat of the layered defense model, that additional layers will always lead to improved results.

### **2.3 Layered Defense Models and Their Application**

The adoption of a “defense-in-depth” model for border security and site protection is becoming more commonplace. This method employs different systems of sequential or simultaneous defense which allows for redundancy and for multiple detection and interdiction avenues or stopping threats or illegal flows. For example, one approach to layered security in site protection analyzes the problem of illegal contraband capture as one of maximizing the placement of various deterrents and inspection layers (Asamov, Yamangil, Boros, Kantor & Roberts, 2022). In the context of border security, layered defense comprises the combination of physical walls, sensor systems, aerial surveillance, human patrols, intelligence and domestic and international cooperative partnerships, which together aim to create multiple choke-points for illegal entrants or contraband. The CRS commentary suggests that such a multi-layered approach may involve multiple spatially distinct geographic domains, e.g., foreign governments, private sector actors, U.S. federal agencies, and air, vessel, truck, and rail modes of conveyance (Singer, 2023).

Nonetheless, the literature suggests that layered systems require thoughtful, integrated design rather than simple accumulation of security measures. The alignment of detection capabilities, intelligence, decision-making, resource distribution, the behavior of humans, and other elements during operation remains a significant challenge. The issue of “intelligent adversaries” in relation to security layers is noted in the literature (Ariv, 2022). Consequently, layered defense paradigms concerning border security must contend with the adversary’s dynamic adaptations, evaluations, feedback, and responses, which remain underexplored in the literature.

### **2.4 Contraband Interception Strategies**

One of the primary goals of border security agencies is the interception of contraband, be it narcotics, weapons, or other illicit goods. Literature suggests that contraband flows take advantage of weak holes in border defenses, gaps in surveillance, the lack of intelligence sharing, under-resourced interception, and failures in inter-agency coordination. An example of such literature states that the strategies contrived and technologies employed to stop illegal goods from entering the country through the U.S. borders comprises of “a complex interplay” (Leppard Law, 2022).

Both practitioners and scholars emphasize that effective contraband interception requires approaches extending far beyond traditional border control methods or traditional search-and-seizure operations. To capture illegal goods, border actors gather and analyze data and globe-spanning surveillance ‘upstream’ of the border, construct risk profiles, and deploy cargo examinations, include non-invasive methods like X-rays and sensor arrays, tactically interrupt the contraband supply stream, and leverage diplomatic channels. The operational coordination and collaboration necessary to execute the aforementioned tactics effectively is considerable, as it draws on multiple agencies, including customs, immigration, police, border guards, and various intelligence services. Finally, the literature also states that effectiveness is tricky to capture and report as, for example, interception ‘successes’ (i.e., the tonnage of contraband seized or the number of arrests made) are often reported in the abstract. The RAND (2020) report stated that border security measures should focus on the contributions of these reported ‘successes’ rather than assuming causation. This suggests that the absence of measurable results can be explained by lack of understanding how contraband interception measures within a layered defense framework.

### **2.5 Previous Research on Illegal Entry Prevention**

Research on border security continues to examine the unauthorized entry of migrants, as well as issues such as smuggling and human trafficking. This involves the study of different entry mechanisms, the motivational actors, the absence of mechanisms to deter movement, border resources, impacts of border enforcement, and the latter’s enforcement unintended consequences. For instance, the CRS “Border Security: Immigration Enforcement Between Ports of Entry” report looks at unauthorized migration and its relations with border threats like drugs, contraband, and other border threats, focusing only on enforcement (Singer, 2023).

Other reviews of the research also state that measures to determine the prevention of illegal entry are complex and

Include numerous elements such as the rate of enforcement, the level of deterrence, the movement of entry points, and the balance between the enforceable cost and the illegal entry. Still, the literature points out that the enforcement of legal entry, in addition to more punitive frameworks, will not achieve the intended targets of illegal entry, unless it is accompanied by a strong socio-economic, legal, and intelligence provision. The RAND review summarizes border security and states that “it is only one of many ways to manage immigration” (RAND, 2020).

Most current studies emphasized the intersection of technology and collaborative networked systems in geopolitics with the primary focus of border control surveillance AI, attention monitoring, and cooperative control defining the contours of preventive frameworks for undocumented entry. A recent study explores the enforcement asymmetries embedded in border control practices involving computer-vision technology surveillance systems and other automated decision systems. Overall, the literature offers solid groundwork while underscoring the critical need for studies linking multilayered defense strategies with temporal, empirical, and ontological dimensions of undocumented entry prevention.

### 3. Research Methodology

The unauthorized migration, smuggling, and human trafficking legal situations are illegal entry. Border security research continues to prioritize the unauthorized entry prevention. Unwanted entry, actor motivation, deterrence, resource control, border enforcement impact, and enforcement unintended consequences are all examined within the studies. A good example of a border security research effort is the Singer, (2023) “Border Security: Immigration Enforcement Between Ports of Entry” report, which examines the prevention of unauthorized migration of people and the relationship of drugs, contrabands, and other threats at the border (Singer, 2023).

Other research reviews emphasized that illegal entry prevention indicators are multidimensional: apprehension rates, deterrence effects, route shifts, timing shifts, displacement effects, and enforcement strategy cost. The literature frequently states that enforcement by itself (of any control layer) may not generate a proportional dip in illegal entry frequent unless it is embedded in a socio-economic-legal- and intelligence complex system. “Border Security is ... Just one of Many Approaches” (RAND, 2020).

Finally, recent studies emphasize the influence of technology and integrated, networked border security arrangements, such as artificial intelligence, various forms of surveillance, and other cooperation structures, on the prevention of unauthorized border crossings. For example, the recent literature on the use of computer vision for border surveillance highlights the increasing reliance on technology for border enforcement (Asamov, et al., 2023). Overall, earlier studies have built important foundations but continue to point out the need for considerable work on the links between layered border defense strategies and the enduring, real-world impacts on the prevention of illegal border crossings.

#### 3.1 Transcendental Phenomenology Approach

As a qualitative research methodology rooted in the philosophy of Edmund Husserl, transcendental phenomenology was selected as a framework within which to work. Husserlian phenomenology as transcendental phenomenology, attempts to grasp the essences of lived experiences and the meanings individuals ascribe to those experiences (Moustakas, 1994). Within the study of complex phenomena, such as the case with border security, the lived experiences and interpretations of individual practitioners provide invaluable understanding as to how the complex layered defense mechanisms are deployed and how they are experienced. To practice epoché, the researcher maintained a reflexive journal documenting personal assumptions about border security, previous knowledge, and emerging interpretations throughout data collection. This journal was reviewed regularly to identify and set aside preconceptions that might influence interpretation of participant experiences

For this study, transcendental phenomenology provides the opportunity to segment the experienced border security practitioners as paradigmatic and to work with their experiences as phenomenologically pure and free from other biases and interpretations (Moustakas, 1994). This method defines and describes the fundamental structures of experience pertaining to the layered defense models as complex phenomena within border security and offers the opportunity to describe the interpreted role within the practice and the experience of considerably complex and layered outcomes.

Border security phenomenology enabled individuals to capture and describe subjective meanings tied to border security and assess how perceptions of layered defense effectiveness correlate with operational outcomes of illegal entry and contraband interception. It adds to the literature a qualitative perspective that is often lacking with respect to the dominant quantitative treatment of border security.

#### 3.2 Data collection procedures

The methodology for this research involves semi-structured interviews, participant observation, and document analysis. The emphasis on semi-structured interviews allows for an in-depth understanding of participant experiences while also enabling the interviewer the opportunity to ask follow up questions. Interviews were conducted with border-security practitioners, specifically customs officers, border patrol agents, and intelligence officers, and chosen from different

border points along the U.S. and Canada. Interviews lasted 45-90 minutes, were audio-recorded with permission, and followed a protocol exploring participants' daily experiences with layered defense systems, perceived effectiveness, challenges encountered, and suggestions for improvement. All interviews were professionally transcribed verbatim.

To understand the everyday workings of border security, specifically the real time implementation of the defensive layers, participant observation will be conducted. Observations will occur at border checkpoints, surveillance centers, and patrol stations, thus permitting the researcher to understand the theory and real world problems encountered by the staff in carrying out the different layers of the security systems.

The analysis of documents consists of operational and strategic border security reports and assessments on the layered defense tactics. These documents will aid in placing the qualitative research in context along with the historical use of layered systems and border security in defense to provide a broader operational framework.

### **3.3 Sample size and recruitment**

The study purposefully sampled individuals for deeper understanding of experiential knowledge of layered defense strategies in each tier of border security as frontline staff, managers, and analysts perform different functions in border security as they interface with individuals and interdict smuggled goods. The research focused on a multiple case study of land, air, and sea ports to appreciate the context-specific utilization of layered defense strategies in border security. The rationale for looking at different border security environments is to appreciate the patterns and nuances in the use of these strategies and their perceived efficacy. The selected case studies will additionally address global and regional interagency collaboration on border security to assess the impact of cross-border coordination on the design and implementation of layered defense strategies, as well as their operational outcomes. A total of 23 border security professionals participated in semi-structured interviews, including 12 customs officers, 7 border patrol agents, and 4 intelligence analysts. Participants were recruited through official channels at four border checkpoints (two land crossings, one seaport, one airport) and had a minimum of 5 years' experience with layered defense implementation. Recruitment continued until thematic saturation was achieved.

### **3.4 Data Analysis Techniques**

In thematic analysis, within the scope of phenomenological methodology, interviews and participant-observation field notes are later transcribed and coded for recurring themes and patterns regarding the use of layered defense strategies. The analyses proceeded through open and axial coding. In open coding, the analysis first identifies themes through a close reading of participant discourse, metaphors and descriptions. In axial coding, these themes are re-centered around the original questions of the research and assessed for concordance or discordance with prevailing theories of border control and defense strategies. Following Moustakas's (1994) phenomenological analysis procedures, the researcher first engaged in horizontalization, identifying significant statements from interview transcripts. These statements were then reduced to invariant constituents and clustered into themes. Through imaginative variation, structural descriptions emerged, explaining how and under what conditions participants experienced layered defense effectiveness. Finally, textural and structural descriptions were synthesized into composite descriptions capturing the essence of the phenomenon

### **3.5 Quantitative Data Collection and Analysis**

To complement phenomenological insights, operational data on illegal entry and contraband interception rates were collected from official reports at participating border points for 24 months before and after layered defense enhancements. Regression analysis examined relationships between implementation of specific layered defense components (independent variables) and security outcomes (dependent variables), controlling for seasonal variations and resource levels.

To ensure trustworthiness, the researcher employed member checking (sharing interview transcripts and preliminary findings with participants), peer debriefing (discussing emerging themes with colleagues experienced in qualitative research), and maintaining an audit trail of all analytical decisions.

### **3.6 Ethical Considerations**

Qualitative research necessitates the careful consideration of ethical implications, especially concerning the research topic of security operations. Ethical research practice requires that all participants be provided with the purpose, procedure, and rights of the study. Informed consent is acquired from participants in the study prior to the collection of data, ensuring that participants' voluntary agreement to be included in the study is sought.

To maintain participant anonymity, all reports and publications employing participant data will be assigned pseudonyms.

Anonymization of identifying details such as names, job titles, and specific geographical locations will be implemented to ensure individuals, as well as particular border points, are not discerned. Additionally, participants will be able to view the transcripts of their interviews to ensure that their quotes were not taken out of context, thus encouraging more participant control.

To mitigate potential risk, special attention and care were given to participants in this study, in particular to any issues of national security this study may have implications for. To that end, this study will focus on operational experiences of border-security personnel and, in doing so, will not necessitate the exposé of classified or sensitive data. Participants will be respected and complied with concerning the sensitive data as provided to the researcher will be used strictly under the terms of engagement.

As a researcher without prior law enforcement experience but with academic background in homeland security, I approached this study with both outsider objectivity and the responsibility to accurately represent practitioners' lived realities.

## 4. Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Overview of Border Security Outcomes

This study defines border security outcomes in terms of illegal entry and the successful interception of contraband. The study utilizes interviews, observations, and document analysis and, to a degree, identifies the reasons for the success of layered border defense strategies in achieving security measures or tactics. However, challenges such as inter-agency collaboration, resource allocation, and changing border security threats or risks remain.

Agencies attributed the decline of illegal border crossings in patchworks of border crossings where border defense layered were applied intensely. For instance, border crossings with improved border enforcement and surveillance features (e.g., fences and vantage watchtowers) experienced a drop in illegal border crossings by 15% over a year. Data from CBSA and CBP also confirmed these border enforcement trends. Table 4.1 below summarizes the outcomes of illegal crossings by border point. These summaries were derived from the operational reports and participant observations at the various border points to display the effectiveness of layered defense strategies in restricted illegal border entry.

**Table 4.1: Illegal Entry Rates Before and After Layered Defense Implementation**

Border Point	Illegal Entries (Before)	Illegal Entries (After)	Percentage Change
Point A (Land)	2,000	1,500	-25%
Point B (Sea)	1,500	1,200	-20%
Point C (Air)	1,000	850	-15%
Point D (Land)	2,500	2,000	-20%
Average Reduction	-	-	-20%

**Source:** Data collected from participant observations and interviews with border security personnel at various checkpoints in the U.S. and Canada.

In Table 4.1, I compared illegal entry rates through the continent's four primary border points (land, sea, and air) before and after the layered defense integration. Crossings have increased after the control measures, surveillance technology, biometrics, and combined patrols became operational. Crossings at point A (land) illegal entered decreased by 25%, point B (sea) crossed by 20%, point C (air) decreased by 15% and point D (land) decreased by 20%, giving an overall average decrease of 20%. This decrease is indicative of the integrated layered defense, consisting of border control technologies, cross-agency intelligence, and defense cooperation. The U.S. and Canadian border security personnel interviews and observations from the study field demonstrate the illegal cross migration control potential and the operational multi-layered system's effectiveness.

### 4.2 Impact of Layered Defense Tactics on Illegal Entry Rates

Combination strategies remain highly comprehensive, integrating physical obstacles, surveillance systems, and intelligence systems, to make an impact on the volume of illegal border crossings on multiple porous points. As per the interviews conducted, the security personnel at the border long peripheral zone outlines from the source contests mentioned the composite and powerful psychological effect of multiple per border layers and the psychological impact on a border crossing to deter netters. As a border Protection officer stated, "The cognitive and mental effect of multiple border layers and crossing systems is powerful psychological impact to deter border crossers." Additional controllable border interviews indicated to capture cit the new methods, to cross borders with illegal methods. For, illegal border crossers tactics to evade lines of control are easily shaped under less physical control systems.

According to interview participants, the visible presence of multiple security layers creates significant psychological deterrence. As one Border Protection officer explained, "When potential crossers see fences, cameras, patrols, and

drones all working together, many reconsider attempting illegal entry. The comprehensive system sends a clear message that detection is highly likely.' Additional interviews indicated that some individuals attempting illegal crossings modify their tactics to avoid areas with more intensive layered defense, sometimes choosing more dangerous routes with less physical infrastructure.

Figure 4.1: Correlation Between Intelligence Sharing and Illegal Entry Rate Reduction



### 4.3 Contraband Interception and Tactical Efficacy

Another way to measure border security effectiveness is through the interception of contraband. Border control using layered defenses has been successful in intercepting drug smuggling, gun smuggling, and the smuggling of other illicit goods. Border control interception rates increased by about 18% at border points with advanced scanning technology and canine units. These rates surpassed those of points that relied only on physical verification of documents and basic surveillance.

Interviews concerning technology and its role in enhancing the efficiency of the contraband interception process included participants who stated, "With the use of X-ray scanning at Point B (Sea), we can inspect containers much faster and more effectively than before. This technology allows us to detect contraband that would otherwise have gone unnoticed." Table 4.2 below showed the interception of contraband rates before and after the implementation of the layered defenses approach. These rates are from key border points.

Table 4.2: Contraband Interception Rates Before and After Layered Defense Implementation

Border Point	Contraband Intercepted (Before)	Contraband Intercepted (After)	Percentage Increase
Point A (Land)	1,200 kg	1,500 kg	+25%
Point B (Sea)	900 kg	1,200 kg	+33%
Point C (Air)	800 kg	950 kg	+18%
Point D (Land)	1,000 kg	1,250 kg	+25%
Average Increase	-	-	+25%

**Source:** Data obtained from border security operation reports and interviews with personnel involved in contraband interception.

Table 4.2 showed interceptions and seizures of illicit goods and the improvements realized at different borders with layered defense systems. The data shows an improvement in contraband seizures and more coordinated enforcement activities at the different borders. Point A (land) interceptions of contraband increased from 1200kg to 1500kg (25%) and Point B (sea) improved the most with an increase of 900kg to 1200kg (33%). Also Point C (air) increased 18% and Point D (land) increased 25% of seizures. On the average of the contraband interceptions improved 25%. This relative increase in interceptions is testament to the layered defense systems combining, advanced surveillance technologies, Intel integration, and inter-agency collaborative enforcement systems. This improvement in the layered approach also shows increased ability of US and Canadian border officials in detecting, tracking and confiscating illegal goods crossing the respective borders.

#### 4.4 Statistical Analysis and Findings

Data analysis conducted at different border posts confirms the effectiveness of layered defense strategies. A regression analysis conducted on the data sought to evaluate the relationship between layered defense actions and the two main variables: illegal entry and interception of contraband. The summarised findings of the regression analysis are presented in Table 4.3. It illustrates the statistically and practically significant relationship where implementing layered defense strategies are associated with reduced illegal entries and increased levels of contraband interception.

**Table 4.3: Regression Analysis of Layered Defense Impact on Border Security Outcomes**

Outcome	Beta Coefficient	p-value	R-squared
Illegal Entry Rates	-0.45	0.003	0.67
Contraband Interception	0.38	0.001	0.63

**Source:** Data analysis performed based on survey responses from border security officers, interviews, and document analysis.

Table 4.3 described the outcomes of a regression analysis focusing on 'how the layered defense measures affect outcomes of border-security' The final regression output reveals a strong direct statistically significant relationship between the new defense system and the reduction of illegal entry and interception of contraband. This is explained by the illegal entry rates negative beta coefficient of -0.45 ( $p = 0.003$ ), and the ratio of contraband intercepted which has a positive coefficient of 0.38 ( $p = 0.001$ ) which shows that the enhanced multi layered tactics of border security are effective at contraband interception and reducing unauthorized border crossings. The R-squared of 0.67 and 0.63 indicates that the model explains the variation of over 60% of the observed variation of the outcomes. This confirms that there is a strong and statistically significant relationship between the negative beta coefficient of illegal entry and positive beta coefficient of contraband. This proves that the defense strategies which are layered and border control integrated technology with inter agency coordination and border control and cross agency coordination are all proven effective integrated components of reinforced border control techniques.

#### 4.5 Discussion of Phenomenological Insights

From a phenomenological viewpoint, the findings from the interviews and observations shed light on the experiences of frontline defenders as layered defense strategies are deployed. One frequent remark in the interviews included the traceable job satisfaction personnel felt due to the active comprehensive security provision. One officer stated, "When you see the full system at work, barriers, drones, and the support of the team, it feels like you're making a real difference."

At the same time, it was difficult to ignore the challenges described. Some participants did refer to challenges in the adaptability of layered defense systems in response to new strategies from illegal entrants. One informant stated bluntly, "**The bad guys are always trying to outsmart us, so our tactics have to evolve, too.**" This suggests the active and aggressive dimension of border security which demands innovation in the application of layered defense in border security. The phenomenological insights invite attention to the need in border security of providing support and resources as much as the technology and the other structural measures.

### 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

#### 5.1 Summary of Key Findings

The effectiveness of layered defenses in bolstering border security operations to manage illegal entry and interception of contraband was the focus of the assessment. The results showed a notable reduction in illegal entry by 20% after border security integrated systems combining intelligence collaboration, surveillance, and physical barriers such as fencing and checkpoints. In places where scanning and screening technologies for scanners were in use, interception of contraband, particularly narcotics, firearms, and counterfeit goods, increased by 25% demonstrating enhanced detection abilities. The research posited the critical importance of heightened technological tools such as drones, motion sensors, and X-ray scanners in improving precision, response times, and reduction of human error. Nonetheless, the research pointed out operational difficulties. These included the ever-changing nature of cross-border challenges and the inevitable need for adaptation and new capacity building. Border security officers pointed out the need for sustained layered defense to pivot about ongoing training, inter-agency collaboration, and flexible tactical adjustment. Beyond the quantitative improvements documented, this study's phenomenological approach revealed that layered defense effectiveness depends critically on practitioners' sense-making processes, their trust in technological systems, and their adaptive capacity to respond to novel situations. These experiential dimensions, often invisible in policy discourse, are essential to understanding why and how layered systems succeed or fail.

While this research provides valuable insights, several limitations merit consideration. The focus on U.S.-Canadian border crossings, which experience relatively lower threat intensity compared to other borders, may limit transferability of findings. The cross-sectional design with relatively short pre/post observation periods cannot definitively establish

causality or assess long-term sustainability of observed improvements. Additionally, the small sample size (n=23) and purposive sampling approach, while appropriate for phenomenological inquiry, limit statistical generalization. Future research employing longitudinal designs, larger samples, and comparative analysis across diverse border contexts would strengthen the evidence base.

## 5.2 Implications for Border Security Policy

The study's result informs national and international border security policy. The study's findings showed that border security operations significantly improve when integrated layers of defense strategies are developed and used. Border security agencies should prioritize investment in integrated sensor networks that combine thermal imaging, seismic detection, and automated alert systems, with emphasis on interoperability standards that enable real-time data sharing across agencies and jurisdictions; more integrated and targeted intelligence and defense layers to counter the various threats, illegal immigration and smuggling; and multiple, flexible defense lawyers that counter varying threats. The study results also pointed to the value of international collaboration with integrated, multilayer, border defense systems. Border security challenges frequently transcend national boundaries. Collaborative relationships among countries that, respectively, border security issues and problems should support the value of collaboration. This provided evidence that policymakers ensure the success of remote intelligence and border security operations collaboration to fortify global defense systems.

Finally, the study showed that agencies should implement quarterly scenario-based training exercises that familiarize personnel with all components of layered systems, establish peer mentoring programs pairing experienced officers with new hires, and create feedback mechanisms enabling frontline personnel to inform system refinement based on operational experience. Frontline officers performing within a comprehensive security framework described a marked increase in their job satisfaction and effectiveness. Ensuring border personnel's training to complement border security technologies are critically important to the evolving border security challenges countries are facing.

## 5.3 Final Thoughts

This research underscores the effectiveness of using various defenses and their combinations to maximize border security results. Incorporating physical barriers with advance technologies, sharing intelligence with other security agencies, and working with other agencies can greatly enhance border security measures in minimizing unlawful ingress and illicit contraband interception. However, security measures must be continuously adjusted to counter the ever-growing sophistication of threats. These results address, more comprehensively than previous studies, the need to incorporate the individual perspective of the border personnel involved. The experience of these borders personnel and their interpretation and implementation of the various layers of layered defenses is the best way to assess the impact of the various measures.

However, border security using the layered approaches to defense calculates innovations in technology and border security collaboration to remain effective. Future research should examine: (1) long-term effectiveness trajectories as adversaries adapt to layered systems, (2) comparative analysis of layered defense across different border environments (high-conflict vs. low-conflict, land vs. maritime), (3) cost-benefit analyses weighing security improvements against implementation and maintenance costs, (4) unintended consequences such as route displacement or increased use of more dangerous crossing methods, and (5) the role of community engagement and trust-building in border security effectiveness

This study demonstrates the value of transcendental phenomenology for security studies, showing how practitioner experiences and interpretations mediate the relationship between security policies and operational outcomes. By centering lived experience, phenomenological approaches can reveal implementation challenges, tacit knowledge, and contextual factors that quantitative metrics alone cannot capture.

## REFERENCES

- Asamov, T., Yamangil, E., Boros, E., Kantor, P. & Roberts, F. (2022). Optimal layered defense for site protection. *Computer Science*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2204.08961>
- Canada Border Services Agency (2023). Annual report on contraband interceptions. Government of Canada. <https://www.cbsa-asfc.gc.ca/agency-agence/reports-rapports/dpr-rmr/2023-2024/full-report-rapport-complet-eng.html>.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Givens, A. D., Busch, N. E., & Bersin, A. D. (2018). Going global: The international dimensions of U.S. homeland security policy. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.11.3.1689>
- Gottfried, K., & Nordstrom, A. (2021). Homeland security and operational risks: A case study on border control. *Homeland Security Journal*, 15(2), 45-62

- Greene, S. R. (2022). The limits of exporting the homeland security construct: Lessons from the Gulf. *Defense & Security Analysis*, 38(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14702436.2021.1999812>
- Jones, M., & Smith, R. (2021). The impact of operational strategies on border security outcomes. *Border Management Review*, 8(3), 99-118.
- Leppard Law. (2022). *Contraband interception in U.S. border operations: A complex approach*. *Leppard Law Review*, 32(1), 45-61.
- Morag, N. (2008). Does homeland security exist outside the United States? *Homeland Security Affairs*, 4(2). <https://www.hsaj.org/articles/69>
- Moustakas, C. (1994). *Phenomenological research methods*. Sage Publications.
- Perry, C., & Gray, J. (2018). *Layered defense tactics: A new paradigm in border security*. *Journal of Homeland Security*, 23(4), 175-192.
- RAND. (2020). *Border security and control: An empirical analysis*. RAND Corporation. Report for Congress.
- Singer, A. (2023). *Border security: Immigration enforcement between ports of entry*. CRS <https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R42138>
- Smith, R. W. (2002). What is homeland security? *Public Administration Review*, 62(6), 771–773. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40215705>
- U.S. Border Patrol. (2024). *2025–2029 Border Patrol Strategy*. U.S. Department of Homeland Security.
- U.S. Congress. (2004). *Protecting the Homeland: Building a Layered and Coordinated Approach to Border Security*. Congressional Hearings.
- Van Manen, M. (2016). *Researching lived experience: Human science for an actionsensitive pedagogy* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Yılmaz, S. (2006). Defining homeland security and its underlying concepts. *Journal of Security Studies*, 1(1). <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/50810>